

~~TOP SECRET~~

The Director of Central Intelligence

Washington, D.C. 20505

Intelligence Community Staff

25X1

Copy 1 of 28 Cys

17 June 1977

See note

MEMORANDUM FOR: PRC(I) Principals
NFIP Program Managers

FROM : John N. McMahon
Acting Deputy to the DCI for the
Intelligence Community

SUBJECT : Senate Select Committee on Intelligence
Classified Report on FY 1978 NFIP Budget

1. The subject report requests that a substantial number of studies be accomplished and furnished to the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence by November 1977. A major cooperative effort by the Intelligence Community will be necessary to fulfill this requirement concurrently with our FY 79 program and budget activities.


2. The Intelligence Community Staff has extracted the individual study/data requests from the report and will act as the focal point for the Community's efforts. The specific tasks are attached; each contains the IC Staff Office of responsibility and the due date of the report to the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence. Intelligence Community program managers should contact the specified ICS Office with the names of their action officers as soon as possible. It is anticipated that participants will schedule their efforts to ensure the reports are finalized at least ten (10) working days prior to the due date to the Committee. A brief description of the approach to the task and schedule milestones should be forwarded to the PRC(I) Secretariat no later than 28 June 1977.

25X1
25X1

~~TOP SECRET~~

25X1

TOP SECRET



25X1

3. There are other action items within the SSC(I) report for individual program managers. I would appreciate being informed, through the PRC(I) Secretariat, of the progress and results of these items to ensure that all Community responses are compatible. I recognize this is a heavy workload at a very busy time, however, these efforts are directly applicable to our FY 79 program and budget process and deserve a strong effort on our part to meet the Committee's requirements.



25X1

John N. McMahon

Attachments
As Stated



25X1

Com memo


*John - I completion
dates must slip I want
to give broadgrass 30 da
notice*

23 JUN 1977

TOP SECRET

25X1

25X1



25X1

Approved For Release 2004/03/11 : CIA-RDP80M00165A000600160004-8

Next 1 Page(s) In Document Exempt

Approved For Release 2004/03/11 : CIA-RDP80M00165A000600160004-8

AUTOMATIC DATA PROCESSING

DCI/ICS OFFICE OF RESPONSIBILITY: OPP/IHD--

25X1

PARTICIPANTS: All NFIP Organizations.

STUDY/DATA REQUEST: The Committee requests that the DCI prepare a report and long-range plan for improving the coordinated acquisition and use of Community-wide data processing resources to include the following information:

- summary charts should be presented showing the trend in data processing costs in each agency and budget program for FY 1970-FY 1979. Principal factors affecting these trends should be analyzed;
- computer assets in the NFIP should be identified to assist the Committee in understanding the number and types of computer systems, the agencies which use them, the purposes for which they are used, the degree of interface which exists among them, and their probable lifespan. Moreover, it would be useful if computers could be sorted, by agency, into various functional groupings; for example: those for analyst computational assistance, analyst reference files, data sorting from collection assets, communications management, administration, etc. The report need not go into greater detail than identifying the computers by models and their major peripheral equipment. Also, it need not include computer systems which serve no other purpose than to control the mechanical operation of collection systems, such as onboard computers in satellites;
- the report should project the replacement or significant upgrade of each computer system over the next five years;
- the major data files in each agency or military service should be identified and the current nature and degree of exchange of files among organizations should be described;
- the DCI should identify the major problems, priorities and new initiatives which will be required in data processing in FY 1979 and over the next five years to improve the quality of management and to meet demands for additional computational capacities;
- the report should contain a broad organizational plan, which addresses at least the following:

- specific changes in organizational structure and practices to improve coordination in the selection and installation of data processing hardware;
- changes in organizational structure and practice to improve coordinated development of software and major data bases;
- steps to improve the access of agencies to data currently in computer files;
- identification of quantitative and qualitative indicators of whether the plan is effectively alleviating the problems in data processing.

In addition, the Committee expects program managers to identify in their FY 1979 budget requests specific actions taken to alleviate data processing problems. (page 21)

DUE DATE: November 1977.

QUALITY OF ANALYSIS

25X1

DCI/ICS OFFICE OF RESPONSIBILITY: OPEI/PAID--

PARTICIPANTS: ICS, ASD/C³I, NFIP production components, NSA, CIA, DIA, State.

STUDY/DATA REQUEST: The Committee, after highlighting their own analysis of the Intelligence Community's quality of analysis, requested the DCI to provide a report on steps to be taken to improve the quality of analytic work. The report should address such subjects as:

- the balanced use of all sources of intelligence;
- the use of outside experts and contractors;
- the development of area specialists' programs;
- the development of clandestinely acquired data;
- the value and use of unclassified data;
- the role of libraries and reference facilities;
- the impact of security restrictions on access to data;
- improving analytic techniques, both quantitative and qualitative;
- the grade levels and promotion opportunities for analysts in each agency;
- the role of adversary processes in improving the quality of products;
- the role of presentational techniques;
- the use of products--particularly national intelligence estimates--by consumers.

The report should contain a statement of objectives, goals and plans in sufficiently specific terms to enable this Committee to evaluate actions requested in the FY 1979 budget. The plans should also address changes in organizations, procedures, personnel management systems, training programs and other management control areas. (page 25)

DUE DATE: November 1977.

SECRET

COUNTERINTELLIGENCE

DCI/ICS OFFICE OF RESPONSIBILITY: OPEI/HRD--

25X1

PARTICIPANTS: DCI and Attorney General; ICS, FBI, Military Services, DOD.

STUDY/DATA REQUEST: On pages 27 and 28 of their report, the Committee requests that the DCI and Attorney General provide a report which addresses the following issues:

[Redacted Box]

25X1

- the underlying philosophy of enforcement and operation which characterizes the activities of the two agencies and military Services;
- alternative organizational practices and priorities which should be considered if resource constraints become more stringent;
- current resources devoted to analysis of this and other broad CI problems and plans for changes in this effort in the future;
- the cost of counterintelligence and related security operations being conducted by agencies and military Services, to include subcategories for security and offensive counterintelligence;
- plans for improving the oversight and management of counterintelligence within the Executive Branch.

DUE DATE: 1 October 1977

SECRET

SECRET

DCI OVERSIGHT OF IRA

DCI/ICS OFFICE OF RESPONSIBILITY: OPBD/PAD--

25X1

PARTICIPANTS: DCI and ASD/C³I, Services.

STUDY/DATA REQUESTS: In preparing the FY 1979 budget, the Committee, on pages 32 through 35 of their report, requests the Services and Agencies demonstrate careful coordination was effected between the NFIP and IRA. Program managers for IRA projects that serve and impact on national and tactical needs should cite the specific role of the DCI in FY 1979 funding level decisions. A proposed schedule for DCI and ICS participation in IRA program review and coordination attendant to this requirement will be formulated (pages 32-35).

DUE DATE: 30 July--proposed schedule of DCI and ICS participation in intelligence-related program and budget activities.

SECRET

AIRBORNE MIX

DCI/ICS OFFICE OF RESPONSIBILITY: OPBD/PAD--Tel. No. [REDACTED]

25X1

PARTICIPANTS: ICS, ASD/C³I, DIA, NSA, CIA, Services, [REDACTED]

25X1

STUDY/DATA REQUEST: The Committee, on page 29, requested a joint report from the DCI and the Assistant Secretary of Defense, Command, Control, Communications and Intelligence, to include the following:

- a description of each intelligence aircraft program, which includes discussion of the missions, numbers of aircraft, accomplishments, areas of operation, costs, history and planned improvements in the program.
- a list and detailed summary identifying the authors, titles, and principal conclusions of studies prepared since FY 1970 which address the mix in numbers, functions and operations of these aircraft and alternatives to collecting the required data. Those studies which have been most influential in determining the current mix should be highlighted;
- the role of these airborne assets in wartime, to include at least the following subjects: vulnerability of individual systems to destruction and enemy countermeasures; vulnerability of airfields and other supporting systems to attack; vulnerability of aircraft to having their missions changed or otherwise preempted by friendly activities such as air space control problems; the complementary role envisioned between airborne assets and satellite collection; the transition of control for assets from national to tactical commanders; the number of conflicts to be supported simultaneously and their implications for the number of aircraft required; the degree to which commanders' needs for targeting information can be fulfilled by other collection techniques and other types of data.
- the plan for use of these aircraft in a war in Western Europe and the type of data which is expected to be gained;
- information on those supporting systems which provide capabilities such as immediate down-linking of data, or the correlation of data with other collected information;
- the potential impact of innovations such as remotely piloted vehicles, pods and other attachable collection devices as substitutes for dedicated aircraft; and changes in aircraft usage as satellites and ground force collection capabilities are implemented. (page 29)

DUE DATE: November 1977.

CIVIL USE OF INTELLIGENCE ASSETS

DCI/ICS OFFICE OF RESPONSIBILITY: OPEI/ID--

25X1

PARTICIPANTS: ICS, COMIREX,

STUDY/DATA REQUEST: The Committee has requested a brief report outlining the non-intelligence uses to which intelligence resources have been put, e.g., crop analysis, disaster evaluation, locating energy resources, etc. Plans describing areas of potential future contributions should be included. (page 35)

DUE DATE: October 1977.

INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY CONTRACTS

DCI/ICS OFFICE OF RESPONSIBILITY: OPBD/DSG--

25X1

PARTICIPANTS: NFIP Organizational Components.

STUDY/DATA REQUEST: The Committee has requested that the DCI promulgate a set of guidelines and criteria for the Intelligence Community that will insure as broad a base of U.S. industrial firms as possible is involved in competing for intelligence goods and services. The security limitations are recognized, but every effort should be made to broaden the present base. (page 37a)

DUE DATE: 18 August 1977 (copies to the Committee)

TACTICAL USE OF NATIONAL SYSTEMS

DCI/ICS OFFICE OF RESPONSIBILITY: OPEI/IS-- [REDACTED]

25X1

PARTICIPANTS: ICS, [REDACTED] ASD/C³I, Services

DATA/STUDY REQUEST. The Committee has stated that the following issues must have been thoroughly examined and resolved prior to any major investments for the use of national systems to fulfill tactical needs:

- the vulnerability of space systems to countermeasures or hostile attack;
- the ability of tactical field commanders to assimilate and use effectively, near-real time data in a wartime environment; and
- the adequacy of current authorities and responsibilities to insure national needs are not overtaken or preempted by tactical requirements during peacetime. (page 45)

DUE DATE: To be part of FY 1979 Budget Request.

DOD MANAGEMENT

DCI/ICS OFFICE OF RESPONSIBILITY: OPBD/PBDD--

25X1

PARTICIPANTS: ASD/C³I, DIA.

STUDY/DATA REQUEST: The Committee has requested analysis and commentary from the DCI, ASD/C³I and DIR/DIA on the separation of management functions within the GDIP, i.e., ASD/C³I has resource management and the DIA has substantive guidance responsibility. (page 52)

DUE DATE: 1 July 1977

COMMENTS: The basic paper should be prepared by ASD/C³I and DIA and forwarded through the DCI for comment prior to dispatch to the Committee.

SECRET

25X1

Approved For Release 2004/03/11 : CIA-RDP80M00165A000600160004-8

Next 2 Page(s) In Document Exempt

Approved For Release 2004/03/11 : CIA-RDP80M00165A000600160004-8

DISSEMINATION CONTROL ABBREVIATIONS

NOFORN-	Not Releasable to Foreign Nationals
NOCONTRACT-	Not Releasable to Contractors or Contractor/Consultants
PROPIN-	Caution-Proprietary Information Involved
USIBONLY-	USIB Departments Only
ORCON-	Dissemination and Extraction of Information Controlled by Originator
REL . . .-	This Information has been Authorized for Release to . . .

Central Intelligence Agency

Approved For Release 2004/03/11 : CIA-RDP80M00165A000600160004-8 1960/b

Washington, D.C. 20505

23 June 1977

C. 38.2

17

Honorable Daniel K. Inouye, Chairman
Select Committee on Intelligence
United States Senate
Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Mr. Chairman:

In response to your letter of 13 May (R#7890), which
enclosed correspondence from [redacted]
[redacted] Florida, we have thoroughly reviewed the alle-
gations and can find no evidence to substantiate them.

Assuming that you have made inquiries of this matter
with the FBI, I would also suggest that you check with the
Department of State regarding [redacted] activities.

Yours sincerely,


STANSFIELD TURNER

Distribution:

Original - Addressee

1 - DCI

1 - DDCI

1 - ER

1 - SA/DO/O

1 - OLC Subject

1 - OLC Chron

Approved For Release 2004/03/11 : CIA-RDP80M00165A000600160004-8 OLC:DEM:jms (17 June 1977)

Central Intelligence Agency



Washington, D.C. 20505

Executive Registry
77-4303/A

OLC 77-1960/b

Honorable Daniel K. Inouye, Chairman
Select Committee on Intelligence
United States Senate
Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Mr. Chairman:

STAT This is in response to your letter of 13 May (R#7890) enclosing correspondence from [redacted] Florida, who raised certain allegations about the Central Intelligence Agency and the Federal Bureau of Investigation.

We have thoroughly reviewed [redacted] claims about the Agency and can find no evidence to substantiate them. I am unable to provide a reasonable explanation for his charges. Mr. Chairman, it may simply be that the continuing and extensive critical publicity about the Agency leads people to seize on us as an easy target for such accusations.

STAT I trust that you have made a similar inquiry to the FBI. I would also suggest that you check with the Department of State regarding [redacted] activities.

Yours sincerely,

STATANSFIELD TURNER

Distribution:

Original - Addressee

1 - DCI

1 - DDCI Approved For Release 2004/03/11 : CIA-RDP80M00165A000600160004-8

1 - ER

1 - SA/DO/O

OLC 77-1960/b

Washington, D.C. 20505

Honorable Daniel K. Inouye, Chairman
Select Committee on Intelligence
United States Senate
Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Mr. Chairman:

STAT This is in response to your letter of 13 May (R#7890) enclosing correspondence from [redacted] Florida, who raised certain allegations about the Central Intelligence Agency and the Federal Bureau of Investigation.

We have thoroughly reviewed [redacted] claims about the Agency and can find no evidence to substantiate them. I am unable to provide a reasonable explanation for his charges. Mr. Chairman, it may simply be that the continuing and extensive critical publicity about the Agency leads people to seize on us as an easy target for such accusations.

STAT

I trust that you have made a similar inquiry to the FBI.

With best personal regards.

Yours sincerely,

STANSFIELD TURNER

Distribution:

Original - Addressee

1 - ER

1 - DCI

1 - DDCI

1 - O/SA/DO/O [redacted]

1 - OS/PSI [redacted]

1 - OLC Subject

1 - OLC Chrono

25X1
25X1
OLC:RJK:hms (re-typed 15 June 1977)



Washington, D.C. 20505

77-4303/A

OLC 77-1960/b

Honorable Daniel K. Inouye, Chairman
Select Committee on Intelligence
United States Senate
Washington, D. C. 20510

Dear Mr. Chairman:

25X1 Thank you for your letter of 13 May (R#7890) enclosing
correspondence from [redacted]
Florida, who raised certain allegations about activities of the
Central Intelligence Agency and the Federal Bureau of
Investigation.

25X1 We have thoroughly studied [redacted] claims about
this Agency and can find no evidence in our records to substantiate
them. Frankly, Mr. Chairman, I am at a loss as to what might
be motivating [redacted] to make such claims about our organization.
I assume you have also requested a reply from the FBI.

Yours sincerely,

STANSFIELD TURNER

Distribution:

Orig - Add'l

1 - DCI

1 - DDCI

✓ 1 - ER

1 - O/SA/DO/O [redacted]

1 - OS/PSI [redacted]

1 - OLC Subject

1 - OLC Chrono

25X1
25X1
OLC:RJK:hms:ndl (8 June 1977)